

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL RE-INSPE	C(INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 1170010 DATE: <u>11/02</u>	<u> 2010</u>	ARRIVE:		DEPART: _		
FACILITY NAME: SANFORD RE	EADY-MIX & BLOCI	K PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 221	0 W 25TH ST					
SA	NFORD 32771					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESE Email: CONTACT NAME: SIGURD BO Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12 (effecti			Mobile: (PHONE: (	(407)841-8409 (407)312-7119 (407)841-8409 (407)312-7119	) )	
Facility Section						
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTO  1. Name(s) of facility representative	(s):				(check 🗹 box for each o	•
Brief Notes: <u>Dump trucks and ba</u>	•				<u>y.</u>	
2. Is the Authorized Representative s If no, who is?:	still SIGURD BO?				Yes	□No
If different, did the facility provid 3. Is the facility contact still SIGUR If no, who is?:					Yes Yes	□No □No
4. Will facility be conducting VE tes If yes, was the compliance author	st(s) during today's ins ity notified at least 15	spection?days in advance?			☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-RM split bin (cement) compartment #1 w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \] N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigenissions by:         <ol> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol></li></ol>	e following:
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

# Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-RM silo (flyash/slag) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unce emissions by:  a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	the following: Yes No to Yes No Yes No
<ul> <li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: <ul> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes No

# Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-RM split bin (cement) compartment #2 w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \] N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigenissions by:         <ol> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol></li></ol>	e following:
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

# Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-RM weigh hopper/loadout w/shroud& central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \] N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigenissions by:         <ol> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol></li></ol>	e following:
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

# Emissions Unit Section <u>5 -CCB Plant-BLOCK silo/bin(cement)w/4 cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \] N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigenissions by:         <ol> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol></li></ol>	e following:
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

# Emissions Unit Section 6 -CCB Plant-BLOCK weigh hopper/mixer w/2 cart. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one box for each question)
Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiremissions by:         <ol> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol></li></ol>	e following:
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

### **Facility Section (continued)**

			1
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one h question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		☐ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?  gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	-	☐ No
4.	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	□ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one h question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- Yes	☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only	
1. Is the facility: stationary : relocatable :; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the fe</i>	box for each question 2.)	stion)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		] No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by teleph e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-21</li> </ul>	Yes	] No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocati c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210]	ion? Yes 0.900(6)]	] No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to reloc		No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operat and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated If YES, what was the purpose?		] No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	] No ] No
CHANGES	(check <b>☑</b> only box for each ques	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized rep	•	stion)
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emiss operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facil 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	sions units or lity? Yes	] No ] No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	Yes	No No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate 30 days prior to the change?	fee submitted	] No
Sangeeta Sharma 11/02/2010		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of N	Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: This Facility was not in operation at the time of inspection. We talked to John at	41- a	,

**COMMENTS:** This Facility was not in operation at the time of inspection. We talked to John at the other Cemex facility ( 1170024) and he stated that this facility was closed.